

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(114)

CWP No. 26118 of 2018

Date of Decision : 21.11.2018

Tilak Raj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed with a prayer that the ad-hoc service, which the petitioner has rendered from September, 1973 to November, 1988 in the HSMITC and thereafter from November, 1988 to April, 1992 as a work charge in the Haryana State Electricity Board should be counted for calculating the pensionary benefits of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 16.07.2018 (Annexure P-2) in respect of the said claim, which is still pending and has not been decided so far. Learned counsel states that the petitioner will be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide his legal notice dated 16.07.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and about the entitlement of the claim being made in the petition as well as in the legal notice, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 16.07.2018 (Annexure P-2)

of the petitioner by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 21, 2018
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No