

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26006 of 2018
Date of Decision: 09.10.2018

Sadhu Ram and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Pankaj Midha, Advocate, for the petitioners.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking a directions to the respondent/authorities to consider the petitioners as notionally promoted on the post of Master Cadre from the date when their juniors were promoted and releasing the arrears @18% p.a till realization to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the employees who were junior to the petitioners namely Ganeshan Paliwal son of Rajeshwar Chand who was recruited on 11.12.1997 as JBT was promoted to Master Cadre on 16.07.2001 and numbers of JBT, who were junior to the petitioners were also promoted vide order dated 07.10.1999 in Master but petitioners were promoted in Master Cadre in between 2005 to 2010. The salary statements of the abovesaid junior official has been placed on record as Annexure P-5.The petitioner has filed his detailed legal notice (Annexure P-6) before the respondent authorities but no action has been taken in this regard. Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the judgment this Court in the case of Union of India Vs. Gurmukh Singh 2018(1) SCT 819 in support of his contention.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana, has appeared and accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/authorities to look into the legal notice of the petitioner

(Annexure P-6) and pass appropriate orders within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

09.10.2018
anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>