

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.2577 of 2018
Date of decision :9.8.2018**

Sushil Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

Bank of Baroda and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr.N.K.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms.Geeta Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

1. The prayer made in the writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for quashing of the notice dated 4.12.2017, Annexure P.2, issued by respondent Nos.1 and 2 whereby respondent-Bank had initiated the proceedings qua the sole residential house in order to recover the loan amount outstanding against them.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioners to take recourse to the alternative remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

4. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

August 9, 2018
KD

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No