

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

Civil Writ Petition No.27479 of 2017

Date of decision: January 15, 2018

Aseem Takyar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, by way of public interest litigation filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to implement and notify the 'The Haryana Clinical Establishments Act' (Registration and Regulation) Act, 2014 (for short, 'the Act') and Rules thereunder.

2. On a query being put to learned counsel for the petitioner as to how writ for mandamus is maintainable for directing the authorities to notify the implementation of the Rules, reference was made to Annexure P-7 dated 12.04.2017. A perusal of Annexure P-7 shows that it is the information obtained under the Right to Information Act, 2005 from the concerned department wherein it has been intimated to the petitioner that the Clinical Establishment Act has been notified by the Government on

28.03.2014 but date of implementation of Act and Rules under the Act have not been notified which are being examined by the Government.

3. In such a situation, no ground to intervene by way of public interest litigation is made out. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 15, 2018
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No