

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2575 of 2018
Date of decision: 05.02.2018

Sushil

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner is employed as Conductor with the respondent-department. And vide order dated 06.06.2017, he was transferred from City Bus Service Faridabad to Jind. However, vide impugned order dated 05.12.2017 (Annexure P-3), he was again transferred to CBS Faridabad. The order under challenge (Annexure P-3) has been assailed on multiple grounds.

However, learned State counsel submits that in the matter involving similar issues, as regards transfer of the employees of the Transport Department, Haryana, this Court vide order and judgment dated 01.09.2017 (Annexure P-6), as also the judgment dated 12.12.2017, rendered in CWP No.24190 of 2017 (**Dilbag Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others**) had issued comprehensive directions to the department to deal with similar issues. Thus, he submits that the grievance/claim of the petitioner shall be considered in terms thereof and respondents shall pass appropriate orders within six weeks from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of in the above terms.

Ordered accordingly.

05.02.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO