128 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 25708 of 2018
DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 05, 2018

GANGA RAM

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

DIRECTOR GENERAL, CRPF AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Shashi Himshikha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

*****

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through the instant petition preferred under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to revised his pension (A PRE-2006 Retiree in the Rank of Head Constable) w.e.f. 01.01.2006 in terms of the office memorandum No. 38/37/08-P&PW(A), dated 06.04.2016 (P-2) issued by the Department of Pensions & Pensioners' Welfare (Ministry of Personnel, PG & Pensions) which gives effect to the recommendations of the 6th Central Pay Commission and provides for the pay Band PB-1 with the revised pay structure Pay Band and Grade Pay of ₹5200-20200+2400/- and the minimum revised basic pension of ₹4920/- to all PRE-2006 retirees in the Rank of Head Constable as against @ ₹4030/- with further direction to respondents to act upon the legal notice dated 16.02.2018 (P-6) in time bound manner and to make the payment of all the arrears along-with interest @18% per annum.

SHAM SUNDER
2018.10.05 16:04
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
HIGH COURT CHANDIGARH

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a legal notice dated 16.02.2018 (P-6) was duly served upon the respondents but till date neither any reply to the said representation has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the afore-said legal notice dated 16.02.2018 (P-6) in a time bound manner.

4. In view of above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 16.02.2018 (P-6) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid legal notice within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

OCTOBER 05, 2018 **(JASPAL SINGH)**
sham **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***Yes/No***

SHAM SUNDER
2018.10.05 16:04
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
HIGH COURT CHANDIGARH