

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.7053 OF 2015
DECIDED ON: MAY 14, 2018

DARSHAN SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. DJ Bhorawal, Advocate for
Mr. H.S. Saggu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. APS Tung, Advocate,
for respondents No. 3 and 4.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.3 and 4 to release terminal benefits including gratuity and leave encashment etc. and to pay interest @ 12% p.a. on the delayed payment.

2. A perusal of interim order dated 03.12.2015, transpires that entire amount of gratuity and leave encashment has already been released to the petitioner and as per the version of learned State counsel, instant petition has rendered infructuous. However, at the time, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to have instructions but though a period of 2½ years is likely to

elapse, learned counsel for the petitioner is not even in a state to apprise this Court whether the aforesaid amount has been received or not.

3. As such, the instant petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, at the same time, petitioner is granted liberty that if he still feels aggrieved, to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

MAY 14, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>