

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1.

CWP No. 2737 of 2017 (O&M)

Sarita

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents
2.

CWP No. 3830 of 2017 (O&M)

Sushil Kumar Sharma

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents
3.

CWP No. 2995 of 2017

Babita

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents
4.

CWP No. 4175 of 2017 (O&M)

Sunita

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents
5.

CWP No. 4231 of 2017 (O&M)

Sweta Kumari and anr.

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents
6.

CWP No. 4702 of 2017 (O&M)

Santosh Kumari

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents
7.

CWP No. 5680 of 2017 (O&M)

Poonam Rani

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

8. CWP No. 5728 of 2017 (O&M)

Usha Yadav	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

09. CWP No. 5805 of 2017 (O&M)

Anu Dhiman	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and another	...Respondents

10. CWP No. 4821 of 2017 (O&M)

Jaswinder Kaur	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

11. CWP No. 11149 of 2017 (O&M)

Sombir Singh	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

12. CWP No. 3506 of 2017 (O&M)

Nadeem Ahmed	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

13. CWP No. 7517 of 2017 (O&M)

Neetu Rani	...Petitioner
versus	
Haryana Staff Selection Commission and anr.	...Respondents

14. CWP No. 6230 of 2017 (O&M)

Meena	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

15. CWP No. 6082 of 2017 (O&M)

Mukesh	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

16. CWP No. 15881 of 2017 (O&M)

Paramjeet	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

17. CWP No. 12636 of 2017 (O&M)

Saroj	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

18. CWP No. 3745 of 2017 (O&M)

Gurvinder Kaur	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

19. CWP No. 22472 of 2017 (O&M)

Joginder Singh	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

20. CWP No. 22369 of 2017 (O&M)

Bimla Devi	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

21. CWP No. 21799 of 2017 (O&M)

Sunaina	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

22. CWP No. 22010 of 2017 (O&M)

Narender Kumar	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and another	...Respondents

23. CWP No. 22149 of 2017 (O&M)

Nisha Kumari	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

24. CWP No. 23124 of 2017 (O&M)

Priyanka Devi and anr.	...Petitioners
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

25. CWP No. 24543 of 2017 (O&M)

Anand Singh Dahiya	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

26. CWP No. 24545 of 2017 (O&M)

Mohan Lal	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	...Respondents

27. CWP No. 2895 of 2017 (O&M)

Manjeet	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and another	...Respondents

28. CWP No. 2994 of 2017 (O&M)

Suman Devi	...Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and another	...Respondents

29. CWP No. 28537 of 2018 (O&M)

Sandeep

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

30. CWP No. 24653 of 2017 (O&M)

Raj Bala

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Date of Decision:13.11.2018

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate
Mr. S.K. Nehra, Advocate,
Mr. Surinder Gaur, Advocate,
Mr. Dharam Pal, Advocate for
Mr. Madan Lal, Advocate,
Mr. K.S. Banyana, Advocate,
Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate ,
Mr. Aditya Dassur, Advocate,
Mr. Surinder Jaipal, Advocate for
Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate,
Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate,
Mr. Pardeep Sehrawat, Advocate
for the petitioner (s)

Ms. Shruti Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for
respondents No. 4 to 13.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the above mentioned 29 petitions as
common question of facts are involved in both the petitions.

Pursuant to direction given by this Court on 12.10.2018, today
an affidavit dated 10/12.11.2018 has been filed in the Court, which is taken
on record. As per affidavit, out of 20 questions, 15 have already been

answered in favour of the petitioner in the revised answer key. With respect to remaining 5 answers, 4 answers were found to be correct as per the report submitted by the expert committee and with respect to one question i.e question No. 19 in Set A, question No. 6 in Set B, question No. 57 in Set C and question No. 35 in Set D is concerned, the Commission forwarded the objection of candidates to 3rd expert and as per opinion of the expert, the correct answer of question No. 19 in Set A, question No. 6 in Set B, question No. 57 in Set C and question No. 35 in Set D is '**D**'.

Since now all the objections of the petitioners have been decided by the Commission, this petition is being disposed of by giving a direction to the respondent-Commission to upload the revised result of the candidates, within a period of four days from today. After uploading the revised result, the candidates who falls within the zone of consideration, they be called for interview for the post of PGT History.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

13.11.2018

G Arora

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No