

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CM-343-CWP- 2018; and  
CWP No.27333 of 2017  
Date of decision: 16.01.2018

Sunil Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Raghubir Tejpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

**CM-343-CWP- 2018**

CM is allowed.

Documents are taken on record.

**CWP-27333- 2017**

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, present in court,  
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order, I propose to pass, no formal written  
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed  
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is  
being disposed of finally.

Haryana Staff Selection Commission vide advertisement  
No.8/2015, advertised the posts of Police Constables Male (General Duty).  
Petitioner being fully eligible for the post in question competed therefor. He  
qualified the Physical Screening Test, whereafter, he even passed the written

examination. The candidates who had qualified the Physical Measurement Test as also the written examination, were issued admit cards for verification/scrutiny of documents. Which the petitioner too was issued. And subsequently, he was even interviewed by the Commission on 15.06.2017. However, in the list of finally selected candidates, the name of the petitioner was not depicted. Petitioner purports to have represented to the respondents in person and even otherwise. But to no avail. Eventually, vide letter dated 03.10.2017 (Annexure P12), he was informed for he had applied in the BC-A category, he was accordingly interviewed with the other candidates in the said category. Whereas, the certificates he has appended with the application form revealed that he actually belonged to BC-B category. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there still exists vacancy in the BC-B category. And since the petitioner had qualified the Physical Screening Test, written examination and was also interviewed, the respondents could always consider his candidature.

Learned State counsel submits that the grievance/claim of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within a period of three weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. Needless to assert that the authorities shall consider the cause of the petitioner sympathetically.

**( Arun Palli )**  
**Judge**

16.01.2018  
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:  
Whether Reportable:

YES  
NO