

115 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 25571 of 2018
DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 05, 2018**

LOVEPREET KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through the instant petition preferred under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant her family pension and to release all the consequential benefits with interest @18% per annum accrued to her on account of death of her father.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though a representation dated 18.07.2018 (P-11) was moved to respondent No.2 with regard to grant of family pension but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the afore-said representation (P-11) in a time bound manner.

3. In view of above, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representation dated 18.07.2018 (P-11) and to decide the same in accordance with law, rules and regulations particularly in view of the judgments mentioned in the representation (P-11) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the order passed by the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

OCTOBER 05, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***Yes/No***