

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6109 of 2016
Date of decision: 24.04.2018

Madhu Sudan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. JP Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Tarun Seth, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider his claim for regularization of his services. Concededly, the petitioner was appointed as part-time Sweeper in November, 1992. And, had thus rendered more than 23 years of service at the time of institution of this petition. It is urged that the services of the persons, who are identically placed as the petitioner, were regularized purely on the basis of length of service, and a few of those were even appointed subsequent to the petitioner. Further, it is submitted that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment dated 22.04.2014, rendered by this Court in CWP No.11368 of 2012 (Gian Chand and others v. Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Ltd. and others). Which was since affirmed by the Supreme Court, for the SLP filed by the respondents was dismissed, vide order dated 07.01.2015 (Annexure P3).

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that the claim/ grievance of the petitioner shall be re-examined, and dealt with by the

respondent-authorities within a period of eight weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

In the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

24.04.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO