

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25547-2018

Date of decision-04.10.2018

Rampyari

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the pensionary benefit/retiral benefits to the petitioner after counting the daily wage/work charge services along with arrears with the interest @ 24% per annum.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that case of the petitioner is covered by a judgment passed by this Court in CWP-26505-2014, titled as “**Shamsher Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others**” decided on 15.01.2016 (Annexure P-6). Further, he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government of Haryana, Forest Department, Haryana Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 22.06.2018 (Annexure P-7) in the light of Annexure P-6.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1- Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government of Haryana, Forest Department, Haryana Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 22.06.2018 (Annexure P-7) in the light of Annexure P-6 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

04.10.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No