

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25523 of 2018
Date of decision: 22.10.2018

Shakuntala Yadav

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take action on the representations (Annexure P-10 to P-29) made by him.

Learned counsel states that at this stage she would be satisfied in case the respondent No.2- Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Gurugram is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 23.5.2018 (Annexures P-15) moved by the husband of the petitioner in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.Sandeep Moudgil, Addl.AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to the learned State counsel in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Gurugram to consider and decide the representation dated 23.5.2018 (Annexures P-15) within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

22.10.2018

gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No