

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 27240 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.10.2018.

Gaganjot Parhar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harmandeep Singh Bhullar, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to appoint the petitioner on compassionate grounds.

Reply has not been filed till date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, she will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in terms of the policy for grant of compassionate appointment.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.2 & 3 states that he has no objection if the prayer made by the petitioner is accepted and respondent No.2 is directed to decide the legal notice as prayed for.

In view of above, the respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P-12) as per law by passing a speaking order, within six weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

Disposed of.

04.10.2018.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No