

**143 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2548-2018

Date of decision-05.02.2018

Navdeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider candidature of petitioner in Ex-Servicemen Dependent Category and consequently issue appointment letter to her on the basis of her merit position.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied if the instant writ petition is treated as representation and direction is issued to respondent No.2- Registrar, Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Sadiq Road, Faridkot to consider and decide the same in a time bound manner.

Heard.

In the circumstances, the petitioner is directed to furnish a copy of the writ petition along with certified copy of the order passed within two weeks from today. Thereafter, Registrar, Baba Farid University of Health

Sciences, Sadiq Road, Faridkot is directed to treat the copy of present writ petition as representation and take final view in the matter by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, the competitive authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not made out, then a speaking order be passed in this regard.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

05.02.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the respondents to consider the petitioner for the post of Technical Grade-I (Head Mechanic) from the date when he was initially appointed as Junior Technician (Mechanic).

Learned counsel states the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by Annexure P-4, P-5 and the judgment of this Court rendered in CWP-21444-2010 on 30.01.2013, titled as Harwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others.

Heard.

In view of the statement made, the Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, State Transport, Punjab, to consider the claim of the petitioner in the light of Annexure P-4, P-5 and the judgment of this Court rendered in CWP-21444-2010 on 30.01.2013, titled as Harwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.