

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-541 of 2012

Date of decision : 22.01.2018

Balbir Kaur

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Sohan Singh and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Cheema, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The present appeal has been preferred by the claimant being the mother of Mandeep Singh @ Mintu, who died in a motor accident occurred on 03.06.2009, for enhancement of compensation against the Award passed by the Tribunal, whereby a compensation of ₹5,04,600/- along with interest @ 8% per annum, had been awarded.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant-claimant submits that the Tribunal has awarded the compensation to the tune of ₹5,04,600/- , which is on lower side as the Tribunal took the income of the deceased as ₹3,600/-. The Tribunal has wrongly applied the deduction of '1/3rd', whereas it should have '1/4th'. Moreover, no increase was made in the salary towards future prospects. The amount of ₹10,000/- towards loss of

Sahil Soni
2018.02.03 15:37
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

consortium and ₹5,000/- on account of funeral expenses, is also too meagre, thus, there is scope for enhancement.

On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Insurance Company submits that the Tribunal has taken care of all the heads sufficiently and there is no scope for further enhancement, thus, urges this Court for dismissal of the appeal.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and appraised the paper book and of the view that there is a scope of enhancement as the compensation to the tune of ₹5,04,600/- is on lower side and accordingly, I take the income of the deceased as ₹3,600/- per month as taken by the Tribunal and provide 40% future prospects and apply a multiplier of '17' & deduction of '1/4th' instead of '1/3rd' to assess the loss of dependency as ₹7,71,120/-. However, I will further add to it ₹70,000/- towards conventional heads i.e. loss of consortium, loss of estate and funeral expenses as per the latest judgment dated 31.10.2017 rendered by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **SLP (Civil) No.25590 of 2014** titled as **“National Insurance Company Ltd. V/s Pranay Sethi and others”**.

In all the compensation payable shall be ₹8,41,120/-. The amount in excess over what has already been provided by the Tribunal shall also attract interest @ 6% per annum from the date of filing of the appeal till its realization. The enhanced amount shall be distributed amongst the appellant-claimant and proforma respondent Nos.4 to 6 being widow, minor son and father, in the ratio of 1:2:2:1 respectively. The liability shall remain the same as has already been determined by the Tribunal.

The award passed by the Tribunal is modified to the above

extent and the appeal stands allowed.

22.01.2018
sahil soni/savita

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/ No

Whether Reportable Yes/ No