

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.107

**Civil Writ Petition No.27139 of 2017
DECIDED ON: January 10, 2018**

MAKHAN SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No.1 to decide legal notice/representation dated July 05, 2017 (P-1).

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner stood retired as Deputy Director Health and Family Service, Punjab on November 30, 2015 on attaining the age of superannuation. Though, all the retiral benefits were disbursed to the petitioner after his retirement accrued to him on account of retirement, yet three annual increments starting from November 1994 to January, 1997 were not released/distributed to him, which necessitating the serving of legal notice dated July 05, 2017 (P-1). However, after the service of legal notice, one annual increment has been released to him, whereas nothing has been done with regard to remaining two annual increments.

Civil Writ Petition No.27139 of 2017

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated July 05, 2017 (P-1) and take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order that too, after giving a patience hearing to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

January 10, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**