

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25406 of 2018

Date of Decision : 03.10.2018

Raghav Nayyer

....Petitioner

Versus

University Institute of Legal Studies,
Hoshiarpur and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr.Vaibhav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The petitioner states that there are vacant seats, the details of which he does not know. He has made a representation (Annexure P-6) as he is desirous of getting admission in B.A.LLB Course against a vacant seat in the sports category. It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that one seat concededly is vacant as per information received by him from the proceedings of the meeting dated 27.09.2018.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, we are of the opinion that at this stage of proceedings when the counselling is over, we would not exercise our jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to bind the respondents to a positive mandate, but since the petitioner has made a representation, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition without commenting on the merits of the case with a direction to the respondents to look

into the grievance of the petitioner as raised in the representation Annexure P-6. In case a seat is available against the sports category and the petitioner is otherwise eligible and comes on merit, the respondents may consider the grant of admission if the rules and regulations permit so. Ordered accordingly.

Petition stands disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

03.10.2018
dss

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No