

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25393 of 2018
Date of Decision:03.10.2018

Virender SinghPetitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuing an appropriate writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the office order dated 24.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.3, whereby the petitioner is placed under suspension with immediate effect and the order dated 24.09.2018 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.2 , whereby, he has been charge-sheeted.

The grievance of the petitioner is that before passing the impugned order of charge-sheet (Annexure P-2), he has not been given notice of hearing.

The argument is likely to be rejected, as after suspending him, the respondents-department was to apply their mind, as to what disciplinary proceedings have to be initiated against the petitioner and for this purpose, charge-sheet has been issued. The petitioner has an opportunity of filing his reply, thereafter, the department will appoint enquiry officer, if need be.

No ground, at this stage, is made out.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

03.10.2018

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No