

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5832 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.09.2018**

SARABJEET KAUR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTEHRS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. R.V.S. Chugh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Sudeepti Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 to 3/*State*.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner-Sarabjeet Kaur is working as D.P.E., G.S.S.S., Khilan Kalan, and by filing the present petition, is *inter alia* seeking promotion as Lecturer from the date, when her juniors were promoted and to grant the entire consequential benefits, i.e. re-fixation of pay, seniority etc.

It was submitted that after serving the legal notice dated 09.11.2015 (**Annexure P-6**), respondents have partly redressed the dispute by placing the petitioner in the tentative list at serial No.404-A from serial No.441, however, all consequential benefits have not been granted.

Upon notice, reply by way of affidavit dated 11.09.2018, of Shri Jagrup Singh, Deputy District Education Officer (S.E.), Mansa, on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3/*State* has been filed in Court today, which is

taken on record. Copy of the same has been furnished to the counsel for the petitioner. In the reply, para Nos.2 & 3 read as under:-

- “ 2. *That the petitioner's case for fixation of pay at par with her junior Mohinder Kaur DPE Govt. Girls Sen. Sec. School Mansa, in master cadre, was considered and decided in favour of petitioner. Petitioner's pay in master cadre has been fixed at par with her junior Mohinder Kaur as claimed by petitioner after having got the approval of the same from DPI (SE), Punjab.*
3. *That petitioner's case for promotion was considered by Respondent No.2 consequent upon which an order No.13/54-2016 Est-1 (6) dated SAS Nagar 13/10/2016 whereby petitioner was promoted from the post of DPE to lecturer Physical Education retrospectively w.e.f. 17/05/2012. The promotion orders dated 13.10.2016 are being attached here with this affidavit as Annexure R-1. ”*

In view of above, counsel for the parties concede that the grievance in question has been redressed, therefore, nothing more survives for adjudication in the present writ petition and the same has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

September 12, 2018

'rajneesh'

(JASWANT SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No