

133

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25264-2018

Date of decision-01.10.2018

Hisham Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.K.Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent(s) to grant one additional increment of higher responsibility under Rule 4.4(2) (C) (i) as per Vol-I, Part-1 of Punjab Civil Service Rules.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that case of the petitioners is covered by judgments passed by this Court in CWP-16915-2018, titled as “**Suresh Kumar Sharma and others Vs. State of Haryana and others**” decided on 13.07.2018 (Annexure P-5) and LPA-2460-2017, titled as “**State of Haryana and others Vs. Narender Pal Singh Arya and another**” decided on 31.07.2018 (Annexure P-6). Further, he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Engineer-in-Chief, Public Health Engineering Department, Haryana, Sector-4, Panchkula to consider and decide the legal notice dated

16.05.2018 (Annexure P-4) in the light of Annexures P-5 and P-6.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Engineer-in-Chief, Public Health Engineering Department, Haryana, Sector-4, Panchkula to consider and decide the legal notice dated 16.05.2018 (Annexure P-4) in the light of Annexures P-5 and P-6 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

01.10.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No