

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26979 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.03.2018

Poonam Mehta and another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek issuance of directions to the respondents to follow a uniform procedure of recruitment and allotment of seats to all the categories of posts of Sub Inspector to District Police Cadre of Punjab Police, under the recruitment test 2016 for Punjab Police.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioners had applied for appointment as Sub Inspector of Police (Female) in the general category. Certain vacancies in the Ex-Servicemen category could not be filled up. It is submitted that earlier the petitioners had approached this Court by way of filing CWP-9457-2017 which was ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioners, vide order dated 04.05.2017 (Annexure P-8). He further states that the case of the petitioners was wrongly rejected by holding that there is no provision/rule that vacancies of Ex-

Servicemen General can be filled up in the general category only, whereas the case of the petitioners is covered in view of Clause 4 (2) of the notification dated 02.02.1982 (Annexure P-11).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to again consider the case of the petitioners under Clause 4 (2) of the notification dated 02.02.1982 (Annexure P-11) within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

07.03.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No