

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2519 of 2018

Date of decision : 05.02.2018

Mala Garg

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate with
Mr. Vikram Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that in pursuance of notice dated 19.01.2018, petitioner filed reply on 22.01.2018 but no action has been taken thereupon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents be directed not to take any action on the notice issued to the petitioner without considering reply dated 22.01.2018. The only apprehension is that since the adjoining shop has been demolished, there are chances that constructed area of the petitioner may also be demolished.

Keeping in view the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 not to take action without considering reply of the

show cause notice dated 19.01.2018. The petitioner be given opportunity of hearing, in case it is so required.

05.02.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No