

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25176-2018

Date of decision: 1.10.2018

Harmesh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Hoshiar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent(s) to decide the complaints/ applications dated 31.3.2015, 2.8.2016, 16.12.2016, 19.7.2017 and 31.1.2018 (Annexure P-1 colly.) submitted by the petitioner regarding embezzlement of public money by the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Director, Department of Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab consider and decide the complaints/ applications dated 31.3.2015, 2.8.2016, 16.12.2016, 19.7.2017 and 31.1.2018 (Annexure P-1 colly.).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director, Department of Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab to consider and decide the complaints/ applications dated 31.3.2015, 2.8.2016, 16.12.2016, 19.7.2017 and 31.1.2018 (Annexure P-1 colly.) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

1.10.2018
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No