

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM-16387-2018 in/and  
CWP-25111-2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 31.10.2018

Prem Ranga

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr.RS Kundu, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner

Mr.SK Saini, AAG, Haryana

Mr.Rahul Jaswal, Advocate for the complainant

\*\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.**

**CM-16387-CWP-2018**

The present application is for preponment of the date of hearing  
in the main case, which is fixed for 26.3.2019.

Notice of the application.

At the asking of the Court, Mr.SK Saini, AAG, Haryana accepts  
notice on behalf of the State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to  
him in the Court. He has no objection, if the present application is allowed.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is

allowed and the main case is taken up on today's board with the consent of the parties.

**CWP-25111-2018**

The present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for setting aside the orders of dated 6.4.2018 and (Annexures P-1 and P-2), vide which, the petitioner had been ordered to be suspended from the post of Sarpanch.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer to the extent that a direction be issued to respondent No.2 – Deputy Commissioner, Panipat to take final view on the application dated 5.9.2018 (Annexure P-8) in the light of the report dated 2.7.2018 (Annexure P7) submitted by Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Panipat to respondent No.2 expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the State as well as the learned counsel for the complainant have no objection, if the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is allowed.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Deputy Commissioner, Panipat to take a final view in the matter on the basis of the record, after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as the complainant, expeditiously preferably within four weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration,

the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of four weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

31.10.2018  
gsv

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                                     |            |          |           |
|-------------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| <b>Whether speaking / reasoned?</b> | <b>Yes</b> | <b>/</b> | <b>No</b> |
| <b>Whether reportable?</b>          | <b>Yes</b> | <b>/</b> | <b>No</b> |