

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25063 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 28, 2018

RAJENDER SINGH YADAV

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide legal notice dated 05.08.2018 (P-5) in view of judgments passed by this Court in CWP No.7862 of 2006 (P-3) by counting the period of adhoc service rendered by him for the purpose of seniority and grant of other reliefs.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide legal notice dated 05.08.2018 (P-5) duly served upon the respondents, within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the case unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice (P-5)

and to take a conscious decision in the light of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.7862 of 2006, titled as *“Hanumant Singh and ors. vs. State of Haryana and ors.”*, decided on 04.07.2008 (P-3), which as per the version of learned counsel for the petitioner has attained finality, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court or to have recourse to the other remedies available under law.

SEPTEMBER 28, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>