

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25059 of 2018

Date of Decision: 28.11.2018

Shri Ram Krishan Paramhans Shiksha Parishad

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.Khanna, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(ORAL)

The petitioner-Shri Ram Krishan Paramhans Shiksha Parishad has filed the instant petition praying for issuance of directions to respondent No.3 i.e. The Director, State Council of Educational Research and Training, Gurugram, Haryana, to approve the faculty of the petitioner institute in terms of the letter of intent dated 01.08.2018 issued by the National Council for Teachers Education (Annexure P-3). It is submitted by learned counsel that such approval at the hands of respondent No.3 is a pre-requisite for permanent recognition to be issued in favour of the petitioner by the NCTE for the D.El.Ed. Course.

Mr. Khanna, learned State counsel submits that the writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to examine the matter in the light of a representation dated 20.08.2018 (Annexure P-5) that has already been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not oppose such course of action and rather adverts to an order dated 07.08.2018 passed by a

Coordinate Bench of this court in **CWP-19204-2018 (Naveen Shiksha Samiti Versus State of Haryana & another)**, wherein also under similar circumstances, directions had been issued to the Director, State Council of Educational Research and Training, Gurgaon to decide the representation that had been preferred by the concerned Samiti.

In view of the above and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to representative of the petitioner-Parishad to appear before respondent No.3 on 03.12.2018 alongwith a copy of this order as also all supporting documents and the representation dated 20.08.2018 (Annexure P-5). Respondent No.3 is directed to examine the entire matter after affording an opportunity of hearing to the representative of the petitioner-Parishad and to take a final decision thereafter within a period of three weeks.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

November 28, 2018

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No