

209-3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.5502 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.09.2018

Dinesh Saini and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Manoj Dhankhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. S. K. Mahajan, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

RAJESH BINDAL J.

The petitioners have approached this Court challenging the acquisition of land owned by them where notifications under Section 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 were issued on 24.12.2007 and 23.12.2008, respectively. Award was announced by the Land Acquisition Collector on 23.06.2010. The petitioners filed the writ petition about six years thereafter.

It was stated in the writ petition that the amount of compensation has not been received by the petitioners. However, in the reply filed by respondents No.1 and 2, specific stand has been taken that the petitioners have taken the compensation vide cheque Nos.400355-56 dated 27.07.2011 except the area for which there was dispute of

apportionment for which the amount of compensation has been deposited in the Court.

Learned counsel for the State submitted that for the disputed land, the amount of compensation was deposited in Court vide cheque No.175933 dated 26.07.2010.

The reply was filed by the State more than two years back. The aforesaid facts have not been controverted.

Keeping in view the fact that the acquisition is sought to be challenged about six years after the Award was announced by the Land Acquisition Collector after the petitioners had received the compensation, we do not find any case is made out for interference in the present petition.

Dismissed.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

17.09.2018
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No