

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24807 of 2018

Date of decision: October 9, 2018

Virender Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr.Hawa Singh Hooda, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Pardeep Singh Poonia and Mr.Naveen Kashyap, Advocates
for the petitioner.
Mr.B.R.Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana with
Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.AG Haryana and
Mr.Saurabh Mohunta, DAG Haryana.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the fact that the notice issued under Section 8(B) of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952 (for short 'the Act') is defective, the learned Advocate General, Haryana has submitted that the earlier proceedings, initiated upon the issuance of notice under Section 8(B) of the Act, be treated as redundant and that the petitioner would be given a fresh notice in terms of Section 8(B) of the Act on his appearance, on a date fixed by this Court, before the Commission. He has also submitted that the Commission would follow the statutory procedure, in terms of the provisions of the Act.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of and the petitioner is directed to appear before the Commission on 16.10.2018.

October 9, 2018

Meenu

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No