

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24801 of 2018

Date of Decision : 27.09.2018

Ram Dass

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

.....

Present: Mr.Jangvir Singh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

....

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The petitioner's grievance is that he is a Gair Morusi tenant on the land comprised in Khewat No.329, Khatauni No.551, Rect.No.35/, Killa No.(2-0), Rect.No.88, Killa No.2(8-0), 3(8-0) in the revenue estate of village Daulatabad, Tehsil and District Gurgaon. He states that the revenue entries were changed way back in the year 2000 without intimation to him on the basis of order passed on 11.03.1998. It is contended before us that the petitioner was not associated with the proceedings resulting in the passing of order dated 11.03.1998 on the basis of which mutations have been changed in favour of the Gram Panchayat.

It is conceded before us that the khasra girdawries for the last more than two decades exist in favour of the Gram Panchayat. If that is so, then there is hardly any material to suggest that the petitioner is in possession of the land as Gair Morusi. His plea that he

was unaware of the changes in the revenue record does not inspire confidence, so much so the petitioner has been unable to show even a fragment of record that would suggest his possession even if it is accepted that the revenue entries were altered to his disadvantage without his knowledge. In the absence of any material on record indicating the possession of the petitioner, his grievance cannot be appreciated.

Consequently, we decline interference in the writ proceedings but leave it to the petitioner to avail his remedies in law, if available to him.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

27.09.2018
dss

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No