

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26493 of 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 10th OCTOBER, 2018

Ritu Mehta

.... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

* * * *

Present : Mr. Ankit Chowdhri, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents No.1 to 3 submits that he wants to file an application under Order VII Rule 11 for rejection of the petition on the ground that the subject matter of the petition would lie before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh (CAT).He has raised the preliminary objection accordingly about availability of alternate remedy.

In view of the preliminary objection raised by respondents No.1 to 3, the petitioner will have to adopt the same.

In that view of the matter, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the said alternate efficacies remedy before the CAT. All the pleas taken by the petitioner are kept open for being raised before the CAT.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

10th OCTOBER, 2018
'raj'

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>