

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 26437 of 2017
Date of decision: 30.04.2018**

Rajpal Verma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is employed as a Waiter with the Haryana Tourism Corporation. He is aggrieved by his transfer from Ambala to Sirsa. In a nutshell, his case is that he was transferred, pursuant to the complaint made by respondent No.4, and, thus, his transfer was punitive in nature.

On the contrary, learned counsel for the Corporation submits that even the petitioner had made complaints against respondent No.4, and as a result, he too have been transferred, in the administrative interest, from Ambala to Pinjore.

Ex facie, the impugned order of transfer was passed on 15.11.2017, and almost six months have gone by. As vide interim order dated November 20, 2017, this Court had stayed the operation of the impugned order, the petitioner continues to serve at Ambala.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submits that the matter shall be re-visited, and appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim/grievance of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof. Interim order dated November 20, 2017, which is concededly in operation to date, shall continue till any such decision, as indicated above, is reached.

30.4.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO