

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 247 of 2018
Decided on : 08.05.2018**

Oscar Security & Fire Service

. . . Petitioner

Versus

The Chairman,
The Punjab State Board of Technical Education and
Industrial Training, Chandigarh and another

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

PRESENT: Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwardeep, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeev Kwatra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, ACJ

In this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Certiorari*, for setting aside the illegal action of respondent No.1 for admitting the financial bid to respondent No.2 against the terms & conditions of the Tender No. PSBTE&IT/2017/4, issued on 11.10.2017, for supply of Manpower of skilled/unskilled workers.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the relief claimed in the writ petition, the petitioner had moved a representation dated 02.11.2017 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents, but no action has so far been taken thereon. He, however, prayed that liberty be granted to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation before the appropriate authority by incorporating the grievance as raised in the present writ petition and direction be

issued to the authority concerned to decide the representation expeditiously in a time bound manner in accordance with law.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, we dispose of the present petition by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation raising all the pleas as raised in the present writ petition before the appropriate authority. It is directed that in the event of a representation being filed by the petitioner within a period of one week from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order, the same shall be decided in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as respondent No.2 within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. The petitioner shall be entitled to lead any evidence to substantiate his claim before the concerned authority.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

May 08, 2018

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No