

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-24609-2018

Date of decision: 06.12.2018

Rajpal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

The petitioner is aggrieved against the advertisement dated 09.06.2015 (Annexure P-5) whereby 10 posts of Mandi Supervisor were advertised.

His grievance is that no post under ESM General Category has been advertised in the advertisement dated 09.06.2015 (Annexure P-5). The background of issuing this advertisement was that earlier an advertisement for 65 posts of Mandi Supervisor was issued in the year 2008 and during the pendency of selection process, the selection was challenged in CWP-12375-2008 (Annexure P-1) and the writ petition was dismissed with costs by observing that answer sheets had been destroyed within a period of three months. However in LPA-793-2012 (Annexure P-2), the selection process was quashed and a direction was given to hold fresh written examination and interview to consider the appellants therein and other unsuccessful candidates after giving due date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to the judgment passed by LPA Bench, advertisement dated 09.06.2015 (Annexure

P-5) has been issued for the 10 posts of Mandi Supervisor and not for 65 posts, which had initially been advertised.

The petition is liable to be dismissed on the short ground that advertisement was issued on 09.06.2015 (Annexure P-5) and this petition has been filed on 17.09.2018 i.e. after a gap of more than 3 years.

Hence, the present petition is dismissed on the ground of delay.

06.12.2018

Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:
:

Yes/No
Yes/No