

CWP-24557-2018

Date of decision : 25.09.2018

Kusumlata

..... Petitioner

VS

SBI and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**Present:- Mr.Harinder Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for quashing of possession notice and pre-sale notice dated 14.09.2018 (Annexures P-1 and P-2) respectively issued by respondents No.1 to 3. Further a prayer has been made to issue directions to the respondents to restore the physical possession of residential house along with house hold articles to the petitioner, her family members as well as household articles of family members of tenant of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the alternative remedies as available to her, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies, as may be available to her, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

25.09.2018

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?Yes/No
Yes/No