

CWP no.2454 of 2018 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP no.2454 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 05.02.2018

Chander Shekhar

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

**Present : Mr.G.B.S.Bal,Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Tarun Singhal, Advocate for the petitioner(s)**

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends with reference to Annexure A-5 (in particular P-1 which is appended to this) where the petitioner sought the documents mentioned at Sr. no.2 to 8 which were accepted by the inquiry officer to be relevant but access has been denied to him by the official respondents. Further contends that serious prejudice would be caused in the inquiry process if the documents which he intends to use as defence are not supplied to him.

In view of above, we are of the opinion that non-availability or non-supply of documents would be a ground available to the petitioner to be raised at an appropriate stage in the event of any adverse order being passed against him. We, therefore, do not have any reason to interfere with the present proceedings and dispose of the writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to raise all these pleas before the competent authority at an

appropriate stage. We, however, make it clear that any order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal with regard to the non-supply of documents would not stand in the way of the petitioner in any manner.

Disposed of in above terms.

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge

05.02.2018
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

(Rajbir Sehrawat)
Judge