

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.51 of 2016
Date of decision: 23.03.2018

Subhash Chander

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Heavy Vehicle Driver in the General Category, in response to an advertisement dated 14.08.2015 (Annexure P6). However, his candidature was rejected at the stage of scrutiny of documents, for he did not possess the three years' requisite experience, since the driving licence the petitioner possessed was issued in the year 2013, whereas the advertisement was issued on 17.09.2015. In response to an interim order, dated 09.02.2017, the respondents have furnished a report, which shows that the petitioner possessed a valid licence, issued on 18.10.2010, which was renewed subsequently. But, the fact remains that at the time of scrutiny, the petitioner could not produce the necessary documents to substantiate his claim, as indicated above. Which is why, he was not even permitted to participate in

the interview. Concededly, the process of selection has been finalized, and the appointments had since been made two years ago.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition itself be considered as a representation on his behalf, and the respondent-authorities may consider the claim of the petitioner sympathetically and pass appropriate orders.

In response, learned State counsel submits that despite the above position, the respondents shall still look into the matter and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of three months from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

23.03.2018

Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES

Whether Reportable:

NO