

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26206-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: - 08.10.2018

Dhiraj Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present:- Mr. M.S. Kathuria, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Mehta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (ORAL)

Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to allot an alternative preferential plot to the petitioner in terms of the revised policy dated 18.02.2013.

Notice of motion was issued and during the pendency of the writ petition, a decision has been taken, which was placed on record by learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 wherein it has been stated that a proposal for allotment of 193 alternative plots in lieu of disputed plots

of Sector 11, Bahadurgarh has been considered and it has been decided to allot 20 plots in Sector 11, Bahadurgarh and the remaining 173 plots in Sector 10, Bahadurgarh by way of draw of lots. It has been further stated by learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 that this exercise will be completed by 31.12.2018.

Copy of above-said decision/communication produced by learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 is taken on record and the same is Marked as 'A'.

In view of above, the present writ petition stand disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

In case any grievance is left subsequent to the allotment, the petitioner would be at liberty to take appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

**(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE**

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

October 08, 2018
naresh.k

Whether reportable?	No
Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes