

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24481 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 25, 2018

MALKIAT SINGH

....PETITIONER..

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS.

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Kailey, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider his case for promotion to the vacant post of Additional Director from the date he becomes eligible for this post.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner moved various representations including latest one dated 10.05.2018 (P-13) but till date neither any response has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide aforesaid representation (P-13), in a time bound manner.

4. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in representation dated 10.05.2018 (P-13) and to take a conscious decision, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 25, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	Yes/No