

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24477 OF 2018  
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 25, 2018

VIJAY KUMAR AND ORS.

....PETITIONERS..

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS.

....RESPONDENTS..

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

Present:- Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J.**

By virtue of instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release interest @ 9% p.a. on the delayed payment of retiral benefits in view of the judgments passed by this Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide legal notice dated 31.07.2018 (P-5) duly served upon the respondent, in a time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the case of the petitioners unfoled in the legal notice (P-5) and to decide the same in view of

Punjab Govt. Instructions No.1/15/90IFPIII/4226, dated 10.05.1990 as well as in the light of observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as “*R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318*, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 25, 2018  
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes    |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |