

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24472 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 25, 2018

RAJ KUMARI

....PETITIONER..

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS.

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefit of adhoc service rendered by her against leave vacancy towards qualifying service for grant of pensionary benefits in terms of decision of this Court in CWP No.11451 of 2008, "*Harjit Kaur v. State of Punjab*," decided on 21.04.2009 (P-2), which has already attained finality and benefit of similar adhoc service has already been granted to the petitioner therein for qualifying service for pensionary benefits. And further to grant all consequential benefits i.e. .re-fixation of pensionary benefits and payment of arrears etc.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 15.11.2017 (P-3) was duly

served upon the respondents but till date neither any response has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken by the respondents. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide legal notice (P-3), in a time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director Public Instructions (Elementary Education), Punjab, Punjab School Education Board Building, Education Complex, Phase 8, Mohali to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice (P-3) and to take a conscious decision, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 25, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	Yes/No