

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.24296 OF 2018

DATE OF DECISION: DECEMBER 18, 2018

Abhishek

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjabi University, Patiala and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.BS Badhran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Abhishek Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr.Vipul Jindal, Advocate for the respondents.

<><><>

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

A short written statement of Prof.Manjit Singh Nijjar, Registrar, Punjabi University, Patiala on behalf of the respondents has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

As per pleadings on record, petitioner passed the matriculation examination from Punjab School Education Board in the year 2004. Thereafter, he passed the 12th class examination from Uchchar Madhyamik Shiksha Mandal, Delhi. Petitioner thereafter passed the Bachelor of Arts (three years course) in the year 2011 from the Global Open University, Nagaland. In the year 2013, petitioner secured admission in the LL.B. (three years course) in Punjabi University, Patiala. Petitioner is stated to have remained regular student of the respondent-University pursuing

the LL.B. Degree course from 2013-14 to 2015-16. It has further been averred that the examination of the last semester (6th semester) was conducted in the month of May 2016 and the result of which was declared in July 2016. Petitioner did not clear one paper of the 6th semester and, accordingly, re-appeared in the same in the month of December 2016 in the supplementary examination. Final result thereof was declared in March 2017.

Instant writ petition was filed seeking a mandamus directing the respondent-University to declare his result and to issue the detailed marks cards for the various semesters as also Degree of LL.B.(three years course).

In the written statement that has been filed in Court today, a stand has been taken on behalf of the respondent-University that the result of the petitioner had not been declared as the process of verification of the certificates submitted by the petitioner of class 12th as also of Graduation was under process. It has further been stated that the educational qualifications/certificates of the petitioner have since been verified and have been found to be genuine and, accordingly, the detailed marks card as also the Degree of the LL.B. (three years course) have been dispatched to the petitioner.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner does not dispute the afore-noticed factual matrix. He, however, points out that a clerical/typographical error has crept into the result-cum-detailed marks card as also in the Degree inasmuch as the mother's name of the petitioner has been mentioned as Madhu Meenal, whereas it ought to read as Madhu Meena.

Since the prayer and grievance raised in the petitioner otherwise has been redressed, instant petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Liberty, however, is granted to the petitioner to approach the competent authority under the respondent-Punjabi University, Patiala seeking correction of his mother's name from Madhu Meenal to Madhu Meena in the result-cum-detailed marks card/Degree pertaining to the three years LL.B. Degree course along with relevant supporting documents wherein name of the mother is reflected as Madhu Meena. In the eventuality of any such request/representation being made, the respondent-Punjabi University, Patiala would be obligated to consider the same in accordance with law and deal with the same expeditiously and in any case within a period of six weeks from the date of submission of such request/representation.

Disposed of.

DECEMBER 18, 2018
SRM

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Note:	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
	<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>