

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.131

Civil Writ Petition No.24280 of 2018
DECIDED ON: September 21, 2018

SONA DEVI

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

**UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND
OTHERS**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought an issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to release the family pension benefit, being a widow of Sh. Ram Dia, who was expired on 06.12.2009 with all consequential benefits along with interest @ 18% p.a. from the date of realization.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner moved representation to the respondents on 14.08.1997 (P-9) when no action was taken, petitioner was constrained to serve a legal notice to the respondents on 29.03.2016 (P-10) which also did not fetch any fruitful result. He further submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice (P-10), in a time bound manner.

Civil Writ Petition No.24280 of 2018

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 29.03.2016 (P-10) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

September 21, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes/No