

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2428 of 2018

Date of Decision:08.02.2018

RAMINDER SINGH

....Petitioner

VS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of filing the present writ petition the execution of the award passed by Additional Deputy Commissioner-cum-Arbitrator, Panchkula under the National Highways Act, 1956 is sought. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the fact that there is alternate and efficacious remedy available under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act- 1996 to enforce the payment. In view of above and keeping in view the law laid down by the Apex Court in **United Bank of India Vs. Satyavati Tandon and others 2010 (8) SCC 110**, this Court is of the opinion that it is always open to the petitioner to enforce the award by resorting to the competent Forum provided for.

In such circumstances, this court is of the opinion that due to the availability of an alternate and efficacious remedy, the writ petition is not maintainable.

Faced with this situation counsel for the petitioner has prayed that he may be permitted to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to firstly approach the competent Forum

Disposed of accordingly.

08.02.2018

raman

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned
Whether reportable`

Yes/No
Yes/No