

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24230 of 2018

Date of Decision : 21.09.2018

Jagjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Petitioner in person.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The petitioner impugns the orders Annexures P-1 and P-2. His grievance is that passage has not been provided to him. Vide impugned orders the District Revenue-cum-Consolidation Officer, Patiala after hearing the petitioner has observed that during consolidation passage was provided to the Tak of the original owner. The land was purchased by the forefathers of the petitioner in the year 1962 (as disclosed to us in Court). Evidently, if there was no passage, this fact should have been asserted by them at that point of time. Neither the grandfather of the petitioner nor his father has ever raised such an issue. Therefore, to our minds this is too late in the day to offset something which stands concretized since the time of consolidation. The forefathers of the petitioner being subsequent purchasers would be equally well aware of this fact.

No ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

21.09.2018
dss

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No