

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25956 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.02.2018

Kewal Singh @ Kewal Raj

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. O.S. Batalvi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of impugned order dated 03.08.2017 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No.4, vide which the petitioner has been refused from considering his case for promotion to the Post of Assistant Sub Inspector despite the fact that the petitioner has already been reinstated in service and exonerated of charges by giving punishment of warning, vide order dated 29.03.2016 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel states that the case of the petitioner is identical as that of petitioner in CWP-16766-2017 (Annexure P-4). He states that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case respondents are directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in the light of Annexure P-4.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide whether the case of the petitioner is at par with the petitioner in Annexure P-4, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. On consideration, if the answer is in affirmative, then the consequential order be passed in favour of the petitioner. However, in case the competent authority feels that the case of the petitioner is not identical and covered by Annexure P-4, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

05.02.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No