

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.2414 of 2018**

**Date of decision : 05.02.2018**

**Opinder Singh alias Opinderjit Singh**

.....Petitioner

*Versus*

**State of Punjab and others**

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

Present : Mr. Onkar Singh Batalvi, Advocate for the petitioner.

\* \* \*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that petitioner was suspended and, thereafter, he has been reinstated vide order dated 08.01.2018. During period of suspension, one Panch namely Nirmal Singh (respondent No.6) was allowed to work in place of the petitioner. Even after reinstatement, the petitioner has not been allowed to work and whole of the record is with respondent No.6, who was allowed to work during period of suspension. Petitioner has made a representation to the District Development and Panchayat Officer, Gurdaspur as well as to the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Kadian and same is annexed as Annexure P-12 with the petition but no action has been taken so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case directions are issued by this Court to take action on pending representation dated 15.01.2018 (Annexure P-12).

By considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the fact that representation dated 15.01.2018 (Annexure P-12) is still pending and no action has been taken, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.4 and 5 to consider and take action on representation dated 15.01.2018 (Annexure P-12) within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**05.02.2018**

*sunil yadav*

**( DAYA CHAUDHARY )  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No