

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24056 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 25, 2018

ROHTASH KUMAR BANSAL AND ORS.PETITIONERS..

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to notionally promote the petitioners on the post of Executive Engineers w.e.f. 06.08.2014, when DPC has recommended the name of petitioners and the sent the list to government for posting against 22 sanctioned vacant posts of Executive Engineers, with all consequential benefits. And further to reconsider the case of the petitioners for re-employment on the post of Executive Engineers under the instructions dated 06.08.2018 (P-11) as 70 posts of Executive Engineers of Civil Promotional quota are lying vacant with the respondents.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though petitioners moved representation dated

25.09.2014 (P-9) to the respondents followed by legal notice dated 25.11.2017 (P-10) but till date neither any response has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide legal notice dated 25.11.2017 (P-10), in a time bound manner.

4. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in legal notice (P-10) and to take a conscious decision, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 25, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	Yes/No