

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(273)

CWP-23953-2018

Date of Decision: December 13, 2018

Shingara Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.P.S. Aulakh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present case, the petitioner has approached this Court seeking compensation in respect of the injuries which he suffered on 26.12.2010 while working on a transformer near Bus Stand, Payal (Ludhiana).

On being pointed out that there is an alternate remedy available to the petitioner for such acts and compensation under the Employees Compensation Act, 1923 wherein, the petitioner can avail the compensation as being prayed in the present writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the appropriate authority under the Employees Compensation Act, 1923 for the grant of relief.

Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Further, as the petitioner was pursuing his remedy here in this Court, the respondents shall not raise any objections with regard to the maintainability of the application under the Employees Compensation Act,

1923, in case the same is filed after the withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

December 13, 2018

harsha

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No