

CWP No. 23939 of 2018

DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 19, 2018

NARESH KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant him advance promotional benefits after completion of 23 years service, which was granted to one of their colleagues Sh. Sukhdev Singh and other who were similarly situated as in the case of petitioners, who has filed a CWP No. 8589 of 2016, decided on 05.06.2016, CWP No. 20139 of 2015, decided on 29.02.2016 {Annexure P-1 (colly)} and CWP No. 2457 of 2018, decided on 05.02.2018 {Annexure P-3 (colly)} with further direction to release the arrears thereof, along-with interest @12 % from the date it became due till its actual realization.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the Punjab Government has already issued a circular bearing memo No. 63542/63592/PRC/R-433/Vol.2, dated 21.08.2018 with regard to the grant of 23 years advance promotion increments-relaxation in terms and conditions thereof, and he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the representation dated 25.07.2018 (P-2) moved by the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievance unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 25.07.2018 (P-2) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations especially in the light of afore-said circular dated 21.08.2018 as well as judgments mentioned in first para of this order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, petitioner still feels aggrieved by any in-action of the respondents, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 19, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>